

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE A BROE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 03495-0059-0 DE THE 07/649,342 02/01/91 EXAMINER DIM. T FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT AND DUNNER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1300 I STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3315 -1812 DATE MAILED: 07/08/92 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on 64/30/92 Exthis action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. month(s), __ _ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. 6. 🗌 SUMMARY OF ACTION 1 to 14, 24 x034 and 34 do 59 2. Claims_ have been cancelled. 3. Claims_ 4. @ Claims 1 to 14 24 to 34, 39 to 5). 6. Claims_ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ ___ has (have) been 🔲 approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🔲 been received 🔲 not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on 13. 🔲 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMP."

Serial No. 07/649,342 Art Unit 1812

5

10

15

20

25

Claims 1 to 14, 24 to 34, 39 to 57, and newly submitted claims 58 and 59 are pending in the instant application. Newly submitted claim 58 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Claim 58 is drawn to a method of using a DNA construct which includes a part of the DNA of the other pending claims. These inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the DNA claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in the production of the encoded protein or as a probe for the detection of the presence of related nucleic acids in a sample.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 58 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) and M.P.E.P. § 821.03.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 to 14, 24 to 34, and 38 to 57 stand, and newly

-3-

Serial No. 07/649,342 Art Unit 1812

5

10

15

20

25

submitted claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Petrovich et.al. publication in view of the Hauptmann et.al. and Krust et.al. publications for reasons of record.

Applicants have characterized the instant invention as relating to an isolated DNA of the <u>hap</u> gene. Applicant's have not addressed the fact that the <u>hap</u> gene encodes a steroid hormone related retinoic acid receptor having approximately 90% sequence similarity to the isolated DNA described in the Petrovich et.al. reference as encoding a retinoic acid receptor.

Contrary to Applicants' assertion, the previous Office Action did not indicate that the Petrovich et.al. reference does not suggest the instant invention. In fact, these claims have been rejected because the Petrovich et.al. reference does suggest the instant invention in view of a contemporary knowledge of the art of molecular biology as exemplified by the Hauptmann et.al. The Discussion section of the Petrovich et.al. reference specifically suggests that "[t]he approach used here to clone hRAR could obviously be used to isolate further members of the nuclear receptor multigene family which may be expressed in embryonic or adult tissues. " This reference further states that [t]he finding that, in human hepatoma, the hepatitis B virus genome is inserted into a genomic sequence (hORF) closely related to that of the hRAR gene raises the possibility that altered retinoid receptors may have oncogenic properties. " It is clear

Serial No. 07/649,342 Art Unit 1812

5

10

15

20

25

the Petrovich et.al reference more that suggested the instant invention because one of ordinary skill would have found the isolation of a cDNA corresponding to hORF as described in the Related human genomic sequence section of this reference, which is the instant invention, to have been virtually anticipated by this reference.

Applicant's arguments filed 30 April of 1992 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL THE DATE OF THIS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE S 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. EVENT WILL DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John D. Ulm at telephone number (703) 308-4006.

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 180

The state of the s